



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

P.R. 24

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/106,841 06/30/98 NICHOL

J

JAMES W NICHOL
- PAUL D GORNALL
BARRISTER & SOLICITOR
960-355 BURRARD ST.
VANCOUVER BC V6C 2G8
CANADA

PM82/1210

EXAMINER

SMITH, R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3634

DATE MAILED:

12/10/99

AIR MAIL

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.

Interview SummaryApplication No.
09/106,841Applicant(s)
James W. NicholExaminer
Richard M. SmithGroup Art Unit
3634

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Richard M. Smith

(3) _____

(2) Paul D. Gornall (for James W. Nichol)

(4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 7, 1999Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1-14

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representative was informed that the reply received on November 29, 1999 was still not fully responsive to the prior Office action because of the following omissions(s) or matter(s): (1) no signature on the reply, (2) claims 1-14 have not been canceled, (3) applicant has failed to include a marked-up copy of the changes made in the substitute specification and has failed to state that no new matter has been entered, and (4) page 2 of the faxed substitute specification has passages possibly distorted during the fax transmission.

Applicant must supply a fully responsive reply in order to avoid abandonment. Applicant's representative was notified that the time for applicant to respond continues to run from the mailing date of the original notice of non-responsive reply, October 28, 1999. Extensions of this time period may be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Daniel P. Stodola
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.